Smith, Jos. "The Periphery." *The New Nature Writing: Rethinking the Literature of Place*. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2017. 127–156. Environmental Cultures. *Bloomsbury Collections*. Web. 26 Apr. 2023. <<http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781474275040.0010>>.

Downloaded from Bloomsbury Collections, www.bloomsburycollections.com, 26 April 2023, 09:12 UTC.

Copyright © Jos Smith 2017. Released under a CC BY-NC-ND licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>). You may share this work for non-commercial purposes only, provided you give attribution to the copyright holder and the publisher, and provide a link to the Creative Commons licence.

The Periphery

In 1972 a young and successful artist by the name of Timothy Drever moved with his wife from the bustling metropolitan art world of London to the Aran Islands off the west coast of Ireland, where they would live and work for over ten years. In the early 1980s they moved back to the Irish mainland, to the fishing village of Roundstone on the coast of Connemara where they still live today, though by that time the artist had become a maker of detailed maps too and, perhaps more importantly, he had become the author Tim Robinson. As the artist Timothy Drever in London, he had been preoccupied with abstract and geometrical forms and with the social and public spaces of exhibition as they were beginning to be picked apart by the land art movement in Britain and the United States. As the author Tim Robinson, he would find that these very preoccupations with space would intensify and unfold through the poetics of writing about place. Since this dramatic move west he has created several editions of new maps of the Aran Islands, the Burren and Connemara (what he calls his 'ABC of earth-wonders') (1996: vi). The Aran maps in particular were the first to have been made since the Ordnance Survey had attempted to do so as a part of the British government's colonial administration in the mid-nineteenth century. The research undertaken for the maps soon led to what he called the 'world-hungry art of words' and he is now the author of a two-volume study of the Aran Islands, a three-volume study of Connemara, two editions of miscellaneous essays mostly exploring the same places and a volume of short fiction and experimental writings. These works, as John Elder has suggested, have earned Robinson 'a permanent place on the shelf that holds the scientifically informed, speculative and at the same time highly personal narratives of such earlier masters as Gilbert White and Henry David Thoreau' (2014: 1).

Thanks to recent developments in ‘regional modernisms’, it has become increasingly apparent that the twentieth century saw an abundance of modernist artists and authors turn their backs on the city and the security of mainland life in search of fresh perspectives from the periphery, although most seem to be clustered around the 1920s and 1930s (e.g. see Alexander and Moran 2013). As John Brannigan has shown in *Archipelagic Modernism* (2014), small islands in particular seem to have worked a magical gravity as authors as various as Michael McLaverty, Peadar O’Donnell, Hugh MacDiarmid, W. H. Auden and Louis MacNeice all found themselves experimenting with island tropes in diverse ways. For some, islands were “‘hard-edged” microcosms of continental or mainland life’; for others they were remote ‘alternative worlds’ (145). In asking what drove this islomania, Brannigan suggests an historical and cultural tension identified by Pete Hay that appears to run to the heart of the island experience at the time: that between ‘vulnerability and resilience’ in which islands are figured as either the ‘victims of change’ or as ‘uniquely resourceful’ (qtd. in Brannigan 2014: 147). Such a curious and uncertain tension, Brannigan argues, may well have appealed to authors during the 1920s and 1930s, when

the very notion of the ‘wholeness’ of ‘Britain’, ‘England’, or the ‘United Kingdom’ was undermined politically and culturally by the emergent sovereignty of the Irish Free State (1922) and its constitutional claim to the ‘whole island of Ireland’ (1937), by the Scottish Renaissance of the 1920s, by the formation of Plaid Cymru (1925) and the Scottish National Party (1934), and by the palpable decline of British imperial power across the globe. (147)

Given such political instabilities, a fascination with islands as sites where authors might confront and work out feelings about ‘insularity’, ‘security’, ‘remoteness’ and ‘rootedness’ seems quite plausible. The literature of islands of this period, he goes on, promised, in various ways, ‘not just a corrective but an alternative to the dominant conceptions of identity and belonging to the past’ (148).

Robinson’s move in 1972 might certainly be seen in this same tradition – and we will explore his particular inflection of post-imperial space – but there are some important differences as well. In fact, it was less this island literature that lured Robinson out west than it was Robert Flaherty’s film *Man of Aran*.

Though this was made in the period Brannigan explores (1934), Flaherty was an American film-maker and his interest in life on the islands fitted a much wider concern with global anthropological film-making that included other well-known features of 'docu-fiction' set in the Canadian Arctic (*Nanook of the North* 1922), in Samoa (*Moana* 1926) and in Polynesia (*Tabu* 1931). For Robinson, who had been living and working in Istanbul and Vienna prior to London, drawn away from the UK by what he has called 'sheer Romanticism' (buoyed by Patrick Leigh Fermor), *Man of Aran* framed the islands as an exposed and elemental extreme, the edge of a whole continent, comparable aesthetically to the northern remoteness of the Canadian Arctic, and yet relatively close to home as well (Smith 2013: 5). Atlantic waves pounded sea caves at the foot of huge, windswept cliffs, diminutive figures of women hauled baskets of seaweed, men hunted a basking shark in a canvas currach (though in fact this was a recreation of a tradition long since passed for the purposes of the film). *Man of Aran* projected a precarious life lived between rough limestone and a thousand miles of ocean on the outer edge of Europe. Such powerful imagery of these Atlantic islands in the 1930s may well have generated another layer of historical meaning about the quality of peripheral exposure when it was seen in a cinema in 1972.

It was from 1968 onwards that the first images of the whole planet framed from space were popularized provoking anxieties about precisely the 'vulnerability and resilience' of not just island life, but the planet as a whole. 'Earth Rise' and 'Blue Planet', taken from the Apollo 8 and the Apollo 17 missions, offered an historic iconography for how finite, fragile and endangered people were beginning to feel life on Earth really was. Buckminster Fuller's influential concept of 'Spaceship Earth' (1968) and James Lovelock's 'Gaia' hypothesis (1972) both responded with urgent and scientific forms of system-based ecology that began to conceive of the Earth as a whole in need of careful monitoring and control. Not to mention the fact that the coastal edge of Aran that the film showed the islanders looking out from was one that was nested on the line of the European continental shelf, a geological feature itself visible from space. When Robinson set out for the periphery in 1972 then, the sense that this coastal edge connoted was not only one of a national periphery (i.e. the edge of Ireland), nor of the slightly larger sense of an edge to the Irish and British archipelago, but a sense of periphery

based on a geological edge visible from space, a periphery of vulnerability on a planetary and cosmic scale.

A unique opportunity is afforded by Robinson's work to explore the whole oeuvre of a man who moved between visual art, map-making, and writing as if they were points that help to triangulate the elusive nature of place in his career. This chapter will do just this, tracing his careful investigation of place as it is braided with scales of spatial register from the cosmic, through the imperial and national, right down to the local, communal and personal. One crucial shaping factor in Robinson's work on place is the idea of the margin or the periphery. What level of detail has escaped the eye looking out from the centre? What sits precariously on the outer edge of perception or cultural memory? What new horizons open when one's periphery becomes centre and what do these do to a sense of place on the periphery? Robinson's sense of scale is challenged by what he comes to call 'the view from the horizon' but as much as it is enlarged it is, at the same time, miniaturized (1997). The horizons of the place multiply internally in curious and exciting ways. The idea of regional and national periphery is supplanted by the idea of fractal horizons at the intersection of space and place.

For Robinson, place is a secular but nonetheless metaphysical concept through which to contemplate our wider relationship with the spatial cosmos.

Imagine that in a few hundred years' time humanity has put aside all its misguided supernatural beliefs and turned its religious instincts to the Earth, the true author of our being. Then a rite will be called for to celebrate this thoroughly realist and romantic-materialist cult of the Earth. This rite will be the Visiting of Places, to contemplate them in all their particularity. (2003: 51)

This description of a 'rite' associated with the secular contemplation of place presents a very telling knot in Robinson's thought. He is, of course, an atheist who dismisses religion's 'misguided supernatural beliefs' here. Such beliefs are, for him, too transcendental, too dependent on any other world than this. However, there is some lingering devotion or asceticism in the religious outlook that holds his fascination. Though religion itself is not for him, there is nothing wrong with our 'religious instincts' which might simply be redeployed into this 'realist and romantic-materialist cult of the Earth'. The subtitle of his first book is *Pilgrimage*, but as the book goes on to explain, it is a pilgrimage

‘with eyes raised to this world rather than lowered in prayer’ (2008: 25). There is a pattern here. While he rejects the supernatural, there lingers a ritualized exploration of place that suggests veneration for its hidden dimensions, for its more-than-immediately-apparent meanings. The key to understanding his distinctive, secular metaphysics, however, is in his fascination with space, not place: ‘I prefer this body of work to be read in light of “Space” ... somatic space, perceptual space, existential space and so on,’ he describes, ‘ultimately there is no space but Space, “nor am I out of it”, to quote Marlowe’s Mephistopheles, for it is, among everything else, the interlocking of all our mental and physical trajectories, good or ill, through all the subspaces of experience up to the cosmic’ (1996: vi).

The relationship between Robinson’s map-making and prose is well known and something that he has reflected on frequently in his books. However, there has been little reflection on the relationship between all *three* aspects of his career – the maps, the writing and the earlier visual art.¹ This is despite his having exhibited at some quite prestigious galleries in the 1960s and 1970s,² his selection for exhibition at a John Moore’s Biennial in Liverpool by a panel of judges featuring Clement Greenberg in 1965 (Robinson 2001: 44) and despite there even being a print of his still to be found in the Tate Gallery archives in London today. By looking a little more closely at the relationship between this neglected alter ego, Timothy Drever, and the more familiar Tim Robinson, a comprehensive picture appears of the developing practice of a quite singular, inquiring mind, one capable of very striking leaps of faith in pursuit of his elusive subject matter: ‘Space’. When we understand his career as more than writing alone, as a practice that has art at one end, map-making in the middle and writing at the other, we see that this ‘Space’ itself, with all its ‘interlocking ... trajectories’, is not only the subject but the *medium* with which he has been working all this time. His move to the periphery in 1972 might be understood as a way of intensifying this experience of space and place where they intersect. Islands especially have often been thought of in these terms as sites of pioneering cultural work. Damian Walford Davies has

¹ The exception to this is an autobiographical essay in *My Time in Space* and the slim volume issued from Coracle Press in 2012 called *The View from the Horizon*, both of which I will be drawing on here.

² The Walker Art Gallery, Liverpool (1965); Signals Gallery, London (1966); Lissom Gallery (1968); and Kenwood House (1969).

explored the original and diverse creativities at work in the island writing of the naturalist (who like Robinson might also be described by so many other disciplinary titles) Ronald Lockley, a man who made his island world on Skokholm an 'experiment in a way of living' (Lockley qtd. in Walford Davies 2016: n.p.). And John Brannigan draws to our attention a description of the Rathlin of Michael McLaverty as a 'laboratory for the study of cultural process' (J. D. Evans qtd. in Brannigan 2014: 148).

If Robinson's move to the Aran Islands is to be understood in such a tradition of 'experimental' living on the margins, we might think of his experiment as one in what would later come to be called, appropriately enough, 'deep mapping'. The three aspects of his work that this chapter discusses took place largely before the (practice-led) theorization of 'deep mapping' by figures in Europe such as Ian Biggs (2010, 2011), Mike Pearson, Clifford McLucas and Michael Shanks (Pearson and Shanks 2001). These aspects of his work even precede the moment when the idea of 'deep mapping' was called forth from the work of Wallace Stegner by William Least Heat-Moon in the American tradition. Nonetheless, the way that deep mapping, in the UK especially, has formulated itself *in practice* does suggest a reflection on the curious historical relationship between it and Robinson's work (Least Heat-Moon 1991). Not least of all because, for Ian Biggs in particular, Robinson is singled out as a figure who 'anticipates' deep mapping, part of a thread that Biggs traces back through John Cowper Powys to Thoreau (2011: 11). Deep mapping is at heart a form of place-making or place-transformation. It recognizes that the identity associated with place is not a matter of essence, stability and boundedness but of work, life and creative energy. It explores new dialogues between the variety of often marginalized perspectives with which a place is invested, past and present, though with an emphasis on 'constructive reconciliations in the present' (Biggs 2010: 5). The terminology associated with the practice of deep mapping – and it is crucially to be understood *as practice* – can be read along a continuum of verbs that enact an engaged cultural work associated with this transformation. Drawing on Biggs again, deep mapping 'intervenes', 'challenges', 'destabilizes', 'mediates' and 'reconfigures' 'existing territories and presuppositions' (5). It offers a form of resistance to prevailing conventions of place representation and a recovery of the rich but underappreciated cultures going overlooked. As such it has to it a fundamental inclusiveness of attitude and often a quite radical 'heterogeneity'

of outcome (Pearson and Shanks 2001: 166). In the United States a 'deep map' might consist of a place-based prose work that combines a variety of stylistic forms grounded in personal experience. However, in the United Kingdom it has tended to involve a wider combination of place-based conversations, oral history work, writing and performance. The deep map becomes the whole process of research, investigation, creative composition and performance.

Unlike other chapters in this book which take a variety of authors as their subject, this chapter considers only Tim Robinson, but it explores the three very different aspects of his career as he moves from the centre to the periphery and as they break down the idea of the periphery into a deep map of place with intricate horizons and edges. In the first part of this chapter, 'A Bridge into the Real World', I show how his early experiments with the geometrical spaces of autonomous abstraction in a particular strain of modernism in the visual arts expand in conflicted ways out into the public and social sphere at a crucial time of political awakening. In the second part, 'Making Amends', I then show this conflicted expansion to be the guiding influence in his navigation through a very unusual exploration of map-making in Ireland which begins to challenge the conventional sense of cartographic space and suggest more inclusive and non-standardized forms. In the third part, 'A Quest for Space', this same line of developing spatial thought and practice is traced from cartographic to linguistic forms of space as language is found to be able to do what neither the art nor the map could. Throughout these parts a sense of a place on the periphery is shown to be established and eventually supplanted by a fractal geography of place underpinned by the 'interlocking trajectories' of 'all the subspaces of experience up to the cosmic' (Robinson 1996: vi).

'A bridge into the real world'

In 1996, Robinson was asked to take part in an exhibition at the Irish Museum of Modern Art in Dublin. It had been nearly twenty-five years since he had left the London art world and turned to map-making and the literary essay. Nonetheless, the work he chose to exhibit brought together his earlier visual art with his mapping and writing in an interesting way that demonstrated a certain surprising coherence of thought. In the middle of the room, scattered

on the floor like large pick-a-sticks were what seemed to be surveyors' rods, some with equidistant black and white stripes, some just white with a single inch painted grey at different points on the rods, and above them, suspended by a splayed rainbow of thread, was one more yard-long white rod. The lines on the black and white rods were not, however, all equal, suggesting a certain divergence from the standard that they brought to mind. On the walls around them were two of his intricate, hand-drawn maps of the Aran Islands and of Connemara, and between them were some twelve extracts from his books *Stones of Aran: Pilgrimage* and *Setting Foot of the Shores of Connemara and Other Writings*. After visiting the exhibition, a friend described the surveyor's rods on the floor as 'measure become organic' (1997: 11). It is an interesting phrase in which there is a sense that the measure has somehow lapsed or that it has been overcome from the inside. The phrase has an echo of 'gone native' to it, since what use is measure if it is not answerable to a universal standard? There is something absurd and paradoxical about these surveyors' rods, each with its own measure and none of them bound by the same proportions. The white rods with a single inch painted grey at different points were called 'Inchworm', a name for the caterpillar form of the geometer moth, so called because, according to Robinson, its movement in small loops seems to 'measure the Earth' (57). Again, there is something absurd about the idea of an animal that might measure to no purpose other than travel. The measurement is not recorded or abstracted but simply performed. Life as lived is the only measure of which these rods speak. They *are* a standard rather than appealing to one. There is something very strangely prescient in this installation, the rods of which were created originally before Robinson left London in 1972 and had been in storage all the while. They seem to have within them the kernel that would grow into his remapping of the Aran Islands, the Burren and Connemara, refusing the standards of the nineteenth-century Ordnance Survey and asserting a form of spatial autonomy.

The level of abstraction and the subtle but philosophical commentary on space that we see in the rods here was characteristic of Robinson/Drever's London work of the time, though of course without the accompanying maps and writing. In the 1960s, modernism had returned to the London art world reconstituted by American intellectuals like Clement Greenberg who, since 1939, had been defending a purist abstraction and the avant-garde

in a way that we might imagine could appeal to Robinson's background in mathematics. For Greenberg, abstraction narrowed and raised art 'to the expression of an absolute' in which 'subject matter or content' had become 'something to be avoided like a plague' (1999: 531). This led, he suggested, to 'free and autonomous' work, pure painting or sculpture, 'valid solely on its own terms' (531). As mentioned, Greenberg had been among the judges who selected Robinson/Drever to exhibit in the John Moore's Biennial in Liverpool in 1965, and other exhibited works of his from around the same time also show a fascination with geometry and mathematical proportions. For example, the print that remains in the archive of the Tate Gallery in London is one, the form, composition and proportions of which were produced by a strict adherence to certain geometrical principles and rules (Drever 1969). As he describes in an exhibition catalogue from the time, 'Aesthetic choices were progressively replaced or limited (and so made more crucial) by geometrical demands' (Drever, Herring and Joseph 1969: 15).

However, there was also an emergent pull away from the 'autonomy' of abstraction at this time and it is this subsequent tension between the two that would propel him out of London in 1972. For an exhibition in the summer of 1969, he and the artist Peter Joseph published an essay in *Studio International* called 'Outside the Gallery System'. In it they voiced their dissent at an art world bound up with commodity fetishism, suggesting that this 'increasingly isolates the artist from the public', channelling work 'at best into a museum, at worst into an investor's cellar', leaving the artists themselves to a 'comfortable enervation' (255). Robinson/Drever and Joseph set about challenging this by holding their exhibition outdoors in the grounds of Kenwood House. Not only this, but the art they exhibited relied on the interaction and participation of visitors to be fully realized. 'Consideration of the environment is essential,' they declared: 'The scale and dynamics of the work must relate to the area in which it is shown. Thus, it seems natural that "environmental art" should be not just the latest fad of the art-world, but a bridge into the real world' (255). Robinson's own exhibition piece was a series of large, flat, coloured shapes produced, again, according to geometrical rules, but here they were set down on the lawn and he invited the public to move, reorder and experiment with new compositions to bring the work alive (see Figure 4.1). This echoed a previous interactive installation that he had exhibited indoors at

Figure 4.1 Timothy Drever. 'Four-Colour Theorum,' Kenwood House, 1969.

Kenwood House the same year called 'Moonfield', where visitors were invited to enter a darkened room with a black floor only to find their feet knocking black wooden shapes on the floor which they were encouraged to turn over revealing a white underside. As people made their way through the room new patterns of black and white emerged dependent on their physical interaction. 'Moonfield is thus a new surface to be explored, and this exploration creates its topography,' the exhibition catalogue claimed (Drever, Herring and Joseph 1969: 5). This topography that was 'created' through the interaction between artist, artwork and public was described as a 'real space both in philosophic and social terms' (17).

Both the outdoor work at Kenwood House and 'Moonfield' demonstrate the fascinating paradox of an artist working in forms of autonomous abstraction while at the same time expressing a yearning for the social engagement and interaction that abstraction had spurned. In the case of the outdoor exhibition, there was also clearly a disillusionment emerging with the conventions of the gallery-oriented metropolitan art world. (Deep mapping itself can be seen to emerge from a similar uncomfortable feeling about the lines drawn between an 'art world' and a 'real world' though it has also grown to challenge the lines separating an 'academic world' as well.) On the one hand, this 'environmental

art' was not then what we might expect it to be today. 'Environment', in this context, referred to the nature of the public space of exhibition. It was simply about finding, quite concretely, new environments for art and encouraging real human interaction through which the visitor takes part in the process of creation. However, the decision to search for a new environment does speak of a frustration with the prevailing spatial discourse of exhibitions, and recognition of the need to question its hegemonic hold on the relationship between artist and the public.

This seems to parallel a growing realization at the time of modernism's own waning political antagonism. Alan Sinfield reminds us how easily Greenberg's defence of the autonomous freedom of the abstract expressionist was co-opted into an ideology threading through a number of CIA-funded European exhibitions that served as propaganda in the Cold War (2005: 210–14). There is something of a new social conscience in the attempt to bridge the art world and the real world in Robinson's work of this period. It attempts to connect the gallery with wider, more democratically public environments, and it questions the social and economic implications of setting a work in a metropolitan gallery. Robinson has also noted that there may have even been the beginnings for him of an 'environmental art' in the sense that it is more readily understood today (Smith 2013: 4). In his essay 'Environments' in that same edition of *Studio International* in 1969, the performance artist Stuart Brisley asks: 'To what extent does the artist maintain responsibility for the implications implicit within his artistic processes beyond production?', suggesting that the commodification of art ought *not* to be something of which the artist passively disapproves while continuing to feed (267). For Brisley, as perhaps for Robinson/Drever at that time, 'Environmental work specifies that the artist take a positive position in relation to his own behaviour as it affects other people within the social and physical context' (268).

It was during this same period that Clarrie Wallis recalls the first 'walk-as-art' taken by the young Richard Long and Hamish Fulton, a conscious decision to turn their backs on the city as authoritarian centre of culture. She quotes from Long's diary of 1967:

We announced we were going to walk (at a normal pace) ..., out of London until sunset. A few didn't start. We went along Oxford Street to the Edgware Road – the Old Roman road of Watling Street – which we followed in a

more-or-less straight line north-west out of London. A few more people dropped out along the way, leaving about six of us at the end. We had no preconceived idea of where we would end up; in fact at sunset we found ourselves in a field, not lost, but also not knowing exactly where we were. The first place we came out to was Radlett, so we caught a train back from Radlett station. (Qtd. in Wallis 2009: 42–3)

Here, the walk-as-art appears an eccentric student experiment influenced no doubt by student marches of the earlier 1960s. But there is a careful spatialization of thought or feeling that encourages us to read this walk as a performance, even a tenuously constituted sculpture. Wallis has gone so far as to describe this moment as representative of a 'shift in consciousness ... , the end of Greenbergian modernism and the beginning of a new era. It coincided with a turning point away from technological optimism to preoccupations with ecology, conservation and a crisis of the 1970s as the British were uneasily forced to face their post-industrial and post-colonial future' (2009: 38). In many ways this walk, through the very area where Robinson was living at the time, can be seen to parallel his own departure from London for Aran along a similarly north-westerly axis just a few years later.³ Both moves offer a performative rejection of the capital at a key time, a rejection of what Raymond Williams has critiqued in modernism as the 'persistent intellectual hegemony of the metropolis' (2007: 38).

It was in this context that Robinson first encountered Flaherty's *Man of Aran* (1934) and began thinking about that dramatic move from the centre to the periphery. The Aran Islands must have seemed the very antithesis to the modernist metropolis he was used to. But if a certain Romanticism fired the initial appeal, it should be noted that Aran became for him not an escape so much as an exploration. This was not a move of retreat from social and cultural politics; Robinson would be drawn to the complex political, international and economic tensions that ran through the life and history of the islands, more so perhaps than even J. M. Synge before him. When Yeats offered that famous

³ Robinson has described himself at the same time taking 'long abstracted country walks', oriented 'by glimpses of the spires of Kilburn, Cricklewood and Neasden' all in fact districts collected around that same ancient trackway of Watling Street (1997: 55). Like Long and Fulton's navigation by an ancient trackway, Robinson's navigation by church spire (and, as he suggests elsewhere, by the sun) speaks of that same search for an older orientation as a foil to the modern urban architecture around him (Dillon 2007: 34).

advice to Synge in the early twentieth century – ‘Give up Paris ... Go to the Aran Islands. Live there as if you were one of the people themselves; express a life that has never found expression’ (qtd. in Greene 2011: xix) – Synge found a way of life and culture richly alive with the language, history and folklore that had already become so important to a burgeoning sense of Irish national identity. He found, in the cottage of Páidin and Máire MacDonncha, not rural isolation but what had come to be known as *Ollscoil n Gaeilge* (the University of Irish) due to the number of scholars who had stayed there for their research (1992: xvii). Aran might have represented a periphery, for both Robinson and Synge, but it was also a place in which questions of the centre – questions about Ireland as a nation and questions about European modernism – might be addressed.

Patrick Lonergan’s account of Synge’s Aran writings offers an interesting spatial reading of the idea of ‘authenticity’ as it is stretched out in a tension between core and periphery. Lonergan draws on Lionel Trilling’s quite conservative essay on *Sincerity and Authenticity* in which Trilling argues that for writing to be considered authentic it must ‘create a vision of life that is separate from social convention, and which seems different from mass-produced culture’ (2013: 71). This separation is read in Aran’s peripheral remove from Dublin (in relation to Irish nationalism) and from Paris (in relation to Synge’s modernism) and it feeds a ‘representation of the regional as authentic’ (72). As Lonergan observes, ‘The desire for authenticity in art arises from a sense that the real world has become fantastic, and from a related belief that fiction can reveal a truth’ (72). However, as he points out, this is plagued by the paradoxical fact that the authentic somehow needs to be verified by the centre and must therefore speak to the prevailing expectations of that centre.

Synge suffered for this tension and found himself often having to defend his work from accusations of inauthenticity. Robinson does not seem to have been criticized in this way but he does nonetheless feel the tension between core and periphery in thinking about where his work is to be recognized. Though Robinson had not read Synge before he left, this sense of the Aran Islands as a centre of culture in their own right, but one nonetheless connected by a long and complicated history to Ireland and to Britain and to European modernism, was something he would very soon come to discover in his own ways. The question of authenticity becomes an interesting one for Robinson too. As he

has less and less to do with London and its audience in those early years he has less and less reason to defend his practice as authentic. His concerns, instead, become concerns about a more localized inclusivity. Robinson was not after an authentic Aran but rather an Aran that *included* and reflected the diverse voices, histories, stories, perspectives and so on, something that arose from his making of what would now be called 'deep maps,' maps that help to make the invisible visible, maps that work on the outer edge of a place to draw in what is at risk of loss.

In the first few years of living on the islands, Robinson attended an exhibition of Richard Long's in Amsterdam and found on the poster one of Long's sculptures photographed on Árainn in 1975. Long had also spent a summer there but they do not seem to have met at that time. He also describes himself and his wife catching sight of one of Long's sculptures from a plane window when they were returning after a trip away: 'an instantly recognisable mark that told us who had visited the island in our absence' (2008: 44). In fact, he actually gets into a dispute when Long is 'aghast' to find two of his stone-works marked on Robinson's map of the island (2007: 113). 'The essence of his works,' Robinson concedes, 'is what he brings home to the artworld: a photographic image in many cases' which serves as 'the entryptpoint to a concept, the idea of a journey' (115). Robinson reminds Long that, nonetheless, Long does in fact leave something behind, something that he, as a maker of a very detailed map, could only find it hard to ignore. The tension is here once again between abstraction ('the entryptpoint to a concept') and a more tangible real-world social interaction (the stone-work left behind). For Long, the pull back to the metropolitan centre from the periphery was still strong and where the work reached its final realization, but for Robinson, who was by that time beginning to map the islands and recognize them as a centre in their own right, that 'bridge to the real world' was coming to look final.

'Making Amends'

On the south-west coast of Árainn, at the base of its 250-foot limestone cliffs, there is a cave called An Poll Dubh, or 'the black hole,' in which a piper is said to have wandered, never to be seen again. The folklore of the islands has it,

though, that inland, under the village of Creig an Chéirín, his music can still sometimes be heard. It is a story that occurs across England as well, though sometimes in the guise of a fiddler rather than a piper. Jennifer Westwood and Jacqueline Simpson tell of a group of people in the village of Anstey in Hertfordshire, for example, who were curious about how deep a local cave went so they sent a fiddler below ground, playing his fiddle, while they walked above ground listening as a way of plotting the depth of the cave in the known landscape. In a horrible twist, the music stops suddenly as the fiddler is taken by the Devil and never heard of again while his dog comes running from the cave with its hair burned off (Westwood and Simpson 2005: 332–3). Similar stories are associated with Grantchester in Cambridgeshire, Binham Priory in Norfolk, Peninnis Head in the Isles of Scilly and Richmond Castle in Yorkshire. The story becomes something quite different in Robinson's hands. Though it is not clear whether this is because of its particular inflection in the memory of the islanders or because of a certain licence he takes himself (more than likely it is both), the sense of a community collaborating with the piper is stripped away and he is reported to be exploring the cave alone. The function of his music in the story becomes a little more mysterious too, as in *Árainn* it shifts from a means of mapping the cave to merely a haunting vestige of a disappeared man. Robinson absorbs this story into a personal mythology in *Stones of Aran: Pilgrimage* and we get a curious glimpse of the lone, questing artist out on the periphery, literally immersed in place, but somehow searching for something more mysterious *within* it as well:

Thus: the artist finds deep-lying passages, unsuspected correspondences, unrevealed concordances, leading from element to element of reality, and celebrates them in the darkness of the solipsism necessary to his undertaking, but at best it is a weak and intermittent music, confused by its own echoes and muffled by the chattering waters of the earth, that reaches the surface-dweller above; nor does the artist emerge; his way leads on and on, or about and about. (2008: 129)

It is an image in which we can certainly read feelings about his remote and isolated existence in those early years on the islands, perhaps even a certain alienation from the place itself, coupled of course with a fear of being literally swallowed by it. And yet, there is a paradox that emerges in this image as well.

The 'darkness of the solipsism necessary to his undertaking' is, in fact, not a darkness of solipsism at all. It is a darkness that belongs to both the island's geology and its folklore. Robinson's account of a supposed interior, subjective space is contradicted by its origin in the island's own cultural repertoire, the recognition of what was, in fact, a shared cultural space. Though the community who trace the piper's movement from above ground are stripped away from this story, nonetheless, in the 'weak and intermittent music' that does make its way to the 'surface-dweller' we can see the beginnings of a tentative emergence of the artist to his new peripheral social context. For Drever the artist, the withdrawn autonomy of the abstract modernist was beginning to unfold into the manifest reality of the island's cultural landscape as it was encountered by Robinson the hoarder of place lore.

He had begun collecting the place names, stories, and histories like this after Máire Bn. Uí Chonghaile, the postmistress of Cill Mhuirbhígh, suggested that he make a map of the islands. He was surprised to find that no map had been made since the Ordnance Survey's nineteenth-century project which had produced impractical, large-scale maps, copies of which were difficult to get hold of and when studied showed a 'carelessness that reveal[ed] contempt' (1996: 3). He did, nonetheless, acquire copies and began studying them. He learnt Gaelic, still then the first language of the islands, and spent a lot of time visiting his neighbours, walking with farmers and fishermen and talking at length about the topography and its associated history and culture over tea. The maps were full of errors, not just of mistranslation and Anglicization but also of misattribution, and he began to nurture an innocent curiosity as to whether it might be possible 'to make amends' (3). As Robinson began to collect place names and understand the stories and histories associated with them, the rich depths of life and heritage that had been ignored or misunderstood, and that often existed only in the memory of a few ageing individuals, he began to understand that, for the officials planning the survey from Westminster, 'rents and rates came before any other aspect of life', and for many of the soldiers conducting the survey on the ground, the 'language of the peasant was nothing more than a subversive muttering behind the landlord's back' (3). The process of mistranslation was of course memorably dramatized in Brian Friel's play *Translations* (1980) in conversations between the English surveyor Lieutenant Yolland and his Irish translator Owen.

In fact, in 1996, a short essay of Robinson's appeared, appropriately enough, next to an excerpt from *Translations* in a slim volume dedicated to a project of 'parish mapping' carried out by the arts and environmental charity Common Ground that we considered in Chapter 1 (Clifford and King 1996). Both were offered as explorations of the tensions at work in forms of mapping associated with centralized administration. Clifford and King exchanged letters with both Robinson and Friel on the subject of mapping, and both authors were glad to offer their work for republication free of charge. We can imagine the appeal of correcting these errors for Robinson, and of exploring the possibilities of reparation that might be involved with representing, for the first time, the actual geographical complexities he was discovering in getting to know his neighbours. He was, after all, an artist emerging from a movement that Stuart Brisley had described as in search of that 'positive position in relation to his own behaviour as it affects other people within the social and physical context' (1969: 268). The disparities he began to notice and correct were observed on the whole in the recording of place names, their mistranslation, their Anglicization and their misplacement.

Looking at early cartography up to the medieval period, Michel de Certeau reminds us that there was once a much closer relationship between the map and linguistic description than there is today. Early maps were often written on with accounts of tours, histories or itineraries of pilgrimages (1984: 121). Their two dimensions offered up stories of the map-making process; but, he says, these stories were slowly shouldered out to make way for more purely visual-spatial description. The history of the map 'colonizes space; it eliminates little by little the pictorial figurations of the practices that produce it' in favour of the top down, precisely surveyed representation of static space dotted with symbols that we have today (121). This erasure of the stories of the landscape from the official representation is nowhere more felt than Ireland. Too small to have developed its own map-making tradition before the English came with theirs, Ireland did nonetheless have its own rich linguistic geography: *dinnseanchas*, the oral tradition of keeping the lore of the land. Charles Bowen describes *dinnseanchas* as 'a science of geography ... in which there is no clear distinction between the general principles of topography or direction-finding and the intimate knowledge of particular places' (1975: 115). He goes on: 'Places would have been known to them as people were: by face, name

and history ... the name of every place was assumed to be an expression of its history' (115). Unlike the increasingly spatial mapping practices of the English, this method had a temporal and historical depth to it and existed, not on paper, but in memory and social interaction.

From the 1520s the English government began commissioning maps of Ireland. Begun just before such a trend of recording linguistic description began to die out in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, these first maps do in fact contain a few of these examples of the kinds of place lore Bowen refers to actually written onto them in the style of the medieval maps that de Certeau describes. J. H. Andrews tells us that on the Dartmouth Maps of 1598, for example, there is the description: 'O'Donnell camped by this loch where his men did see 2 waterhorses of a huge bigness' (qtd. in Andrews 1997: 202). Or the following curious piece from the same map: 'In this bog there is every whott [hot?] summer strange fighting of battles sometimes at foot sometimes wt horse, sometimes castles seen on a sudden, sometimes great store of cows driving & fighting for them' (202). This was, however, the exception to the rule and such curiosities should be read alongside derisive illustrations of 'wild Irishmen peeping from behind rocks' and in the context of a brutal colonial rule (202). Additionally, as Andrews explains, what there was of this practice soon died out as main roads were introduced and maps of Ireland began to endeavour to be more 'objective' for the purposes of administration, achieving a certain regimented abstraction. A concern for the Irish *dinnseanchas* would not be seen until briefly the Ordnance Survey set up its Topographical Department charged with the collection of heritage information in 1835. Even this, however, was brought to an end in 1842 on the basis that it was 'stimulating national sentiment in a morbid, deplorable and tendentious manner' (Hewitt 2010: 287). In the English mapping of Ireland a certain living history was erased from the map before it ever really found its place on it.

For Robinson, the work that began to present itself was a matter of collecting and identifying the correct place names, representing them on his map in the correct place and then subsequently recording the stories associated with them in supplementary written material. The map and the book together seemed the only logical way of making a record of a place so linguistically alive. Patrick Curry has called this 'a kind of Edenic naming in reverse, a recovery of a

world beneath the English language that had imposed itself' (1995: 13). In an interview Robinson describes a typical example of the kind of work he was beginning to undertake:

A very striking case was a place name that was recorded down at the south-eastern corner of the big island. It was something like 'Íllaunanaur'. The surveyors had obviously thought that the first part of it was 'oileán', island, when in fact it should have been the Irish 'glean', glen. But apart from making it an island when it was a glen, the rest of the name '-anaur' meant absolutely nothing in English phonetics. But in the Irish the name means 'the glen of tears' – it's exactly the biblical phrase 'this vale of tears', 'Gleann na nDeor'. And the story I heard from the local people, was that, in the days leading up to the famine when there was a lot of emigration from the islands, those emigrating would get a fishing boat to take them over to Connemara and they'd walk 30 miles along the Connemara coast into Galway, where they'd wait for one of the famine ships heading for America. These ships used to sail out past the Aran Islands and very frequently had to wait in the shelter of the islands while a gale blew itself out. So they would be stationary just a few hundred yards off shore from this place, Gleann na nDeor, and people would come down to that little glen where they could wave to their loved ones but not talk to them. So the name had immense resonances and told you an immense amount about the personal griefs behind the statistics of the famine. (Smith 2013: 6)

It is not at all unusual that such a small name as Gleann na nDeor should contain such an elaborate and interesting story. Thinking of this coastal place as a 'vale of tears', a phrase used in Christian theology to describe the world that must be endured before the soul can pass on to Heaven, suggests a poignant sense of hope about the life that might await relatives making their way to America. Yet unmapped, such names were slipping out of memory and there are numerous examples of intriguing names that Robinson is unable to find an explanation for. He describes this work as a kind of 'rescue archaeology', gathering things in from the outer edge before they are lost forever (1996: 13). His achievements have now been celebrated by the people of the places themselves, by a highly commended citation in the British Cartographical Design Awards in 1992 and by a European Conservation Award, recognizing the work of his and his wife Máiréad Robinson's company Folding Landscapes, in 1987 ('Folding Landscapes' 1988: 60).

As for the form of the map itself, he set about exploring something that would be importantly founded on the place. In a sense he was liberated by being able to tailor his map to so specific and small a location. The rules he worked by did not have to conform to so abstract or generalized a standard as those of the Ordnance Survey. For example, on its south-west side Árainn is all cliff and on its north-east all beach, so the angle of vision that looks down on the island in his map is tilted slightly to the south-west – what he calls a ‘seagull’s-eye perspective’ – thereby capturing the shapes of the sea-cliffs (see Figure 4.2) (Dillon 2007: 38). This was important to a fishing culture that navigated by these shapes, and that had their own names for many of the headlands that differed from the inland names that farmers had for the same features. By making his such an isolated study, Robinson was enjoying a freedom of

Figure 4.2 Tim Robinson. Detail, ‘Map of Aran’, 1996 [1980].

singularity, beholden to no distant, administrative standard, something that of course recalls the surveyors' rods he had created in London in 1972.

While these maps are the work of an individual artist, their collaborative element arising from interactions with a broad range of people and their knowledge and memories of the places meant that Robinson came to view the maps as taking on 'aspects of communal creation' (Dillon 2007: 35). In fact, it was recently discovered that while writing the content for his first book on Connemara, Robinson would publish his findings in the local newspaper, *The Connacht Tribune*, and has described the response:

I had no idea quite how much attention was being paid to them until quite well into the process I found that everyone was waiting for me to turn up. They were quite indignant if I hadn't turned up to them. And they'd have all their information absolutely on the tip of their tongue ready for me. I'd say in a sort of diffident way: 'O I'm the man from Roundstone who's making the map,' and they'd immediately start 'O himself has a stone he wants to show you,' 'the name of that hill is such and such.' (Smith 2013: 9)

In a range of different ways the 'aspects of communal creation' really did involve the communities themselves then, bringing them closer again to the forms of community mapping that Common Ground were exploring in the UK at this same time. The maps became expressions of collectively informed experience undertaken by an artist breaking out of autonomous modernism and trying to connect with what he called (with a certain wry wit) 'the view from the horizon.' So the idea of the Aran Islands as a periphery begins to break down for Robinson and a more localized sense of place, as an intricate labyrinth of horizons, emerged. Robinson's work was becoming focused on recording, collecting, representing and folding together discrete forms of knowledge and memory. David Harvey has described such intangible forms as 'small heritages,' from anything as modest as a field name or a superstition to or pneumatic (2008: 20). The plural form that Harvey articulates here is in contradistinction to the idea of an 'Authorised Heritage Discourse' administered from the top down or from the centre. Small heritages are to be understood as intangible, living and changing forms, often kept alive only by their very articulation, communication and performance (20). Both Robinson's maps and the books together began to constitute a new cultural space that could contain such diverse forms, but crucially they were also offered as unfinished and open-

ended, as a horizon always on the move. The openness of this process found itself very eloquently expressed in a recent return of the periphery to the centre when Robinson exhibited his Aran map in London. In 2011 he was invited to take part in Hans-Ulrich Obrist's 'Map Marathon' at the Serpentine Gallery alongside Louise Bourgeois and Ai Weiwei. Robinson's contribution was a twenty-two-foot vinyl print of his map of the Aran Islands laid on the floor. Come and walk on it, he invited. Come and dance on it. Come and write your name or your message on it. Pens were provided and people did and the map has gathered all kinds of annotations now, recalling the earlier public participation in his work at Kenwood House but also giving a useful visual metaphor for all those different community contributions that his vision of Aran, the Burren and Connemara has accrued over the years ('Map Marathon').

A quest for Space

'Space', as Robinson describes it, is his preoccupation (1996: vi). Aran and Connemara we might more readily and more comfortably describe as *places*, but, as was suggested in the introduction, they have become sites in his work for investigating the wider question of space itself as well. Seamus Deane, in a review of the Aran books, suggested that they represented 'not perhaps a quest for Aran but a quest to which Aran gives shape and meaning' (1989: 9). The quest has perhaps been 'for' space itself: the inward, subjective recesses, the outward, subjective projections, the historical depth, the community feeling, the disciplinary varieties and the imaginative possibilities of space in its fullest understanding. The early geometrical spaces that his artwork explored in London, when he was just beginning to invite public and social interaction, found themselves complicated by the two clearly contested and 'interlocking' spaces that he uncovered in Aran in tensions between the islands' *dinnseanchas* and the Ordnance Survey maps (1996: vi). Space as plural, contested and yet common became an experiential reality for him through the map-making and it was not long before a growing interest in different, more complex, forms of space began to shoulder out his previous interest in Euclidean conventions.

In the book that completes his *Connemara* trilogy, *Connemara: A Little Gaelic Kingdom*, Robinson addresses precisely this interest, taking, 'as a source

of metaphor and imagery', the fractal geometry of Benoît Mandelbrot (2011: 252). He is prompted to do so by Mandelbrot's 1967 essay 'How Long Is the Coast of Britain?', which he applies to the intricate folds and convolutions of the Connemara coastline. The answer is surprisingly elusive, dependent as it is on the scale at which one is looking. Increasing the scale unearths greater detail, time and time again, and so the answer grows the closer one looks. The problem is that any measure, at however small a scale, is forced to simplify complex ambiguities which might otherwise reveal further intricacies of their own. When he writes of the intricate and changing coastline of this landscape, it is increasingly with a realization of the inadequacy of Euclidean geometry as a means of representing its complexity. Not only this, but we begin to hear an echo in the language suggesting a parallel with the inadequacy of the Ordnance Survey as a means of representing Irish cultural geographies. The land is described as 'largely composed of such recalcitrant entities, over which the geometry of Euclid, the fairytale of lines, circles, areas and volumes we are told at school, has no authority' (2011: 249). And again, coastlines are 'too complicated to be described in terms of classical geometry, which would indeed regard them as broken, confused, tangled, unworthy of the dignity of measure' (249). The lack of 'authority' chimes with the book's earlier chapters on Connemara's histories of political and cultural rebellion, and the mention of something 'confused, tangled, unworthy of the dignity of measure' could as easily describe the English bafflement and contempt for the Irish *dinnseanchas* as it describes here a mathematical difficulty.

The edge, the periphery and the coast become spatial forms that exemplify the *depth* of place for Robinson, which is always a depth receding from view wherever we are stood. Mandelbrot's mathematical conundrum is intricately complicated by the idea of historical and cultural depth: 'There are more places within a forest, among the galaxies or on a Connemara seashore, than the geometry of common sense allows,' he suggests (2011: 252). For Robinson, this proliferation of places is bound up with the numerous and interlocking human experiences of them, indefinitely troubling our ability to calibrate any final measure (in fact it undermines the very assumptions that the idea of a final measure carries). Questions of history, community, tradition, disciplinary perspective and language – or *languages* – open up and multiply a single place into many and we might understand this as a kind of fractal cultural geography

of the periphery. For Robinson though, such a fractal vision only becomes possible through the contours that language opens up.

The spaces of stories and histories are a part of that 'interlocking' of 'all our mental and physical trajectories' that he suggests when he claims that 'ultimately there is no space but Space'. They are a part of the community's or the culture's intersubjectivity, that empathic belief in a common lifeworld shared by others (1996: vi). While few would disagree that our distinctive knowledge, memories and experiences of space are 'interlocking', what Robinson is curious about is the manner in which this 'interlocking' takes place, the manner in which it *might potentially* take place and the role that writing can play in working with the textures of this common but plural 'Space'. It is in this sense that his interest in 'Space' has gone beyond the safeguarding of a body of oral history knowledge balanced on the edge of memory. It is an interest that draws attention to space as a social and artistic medium underlying a place and, at its edges, dynamically alive and in a state of becoming.

In a recent essay he tells us that for a good many years now he has been building a computer database on CD-ROM of all the topographical knowledge he has been collecting, a way of indexing and preserving the research in a more detailed manner than the paper maps allow and in a more systematic manner than the literary books allow. In this essay – called 'The Seanachái and the Database', where *seanachái* comes from the same root as *dinnseanchas* – he begins to weigh the strengths and weakness of his database against the strengths and weaknesses of the *dinnseanchái* (or 'keeper of topographical knowledge and lore of place') (2003: 46). He finds that the database 'transcends' the local memory 'in powers of recall and logical organization'; it is searchable and has no limit to the amount of information it can store (47). However, the database falls far short when it comes to 'ambiguous or doubtful data' and 'as a memorandum of lifelong inhabitation' (47). The *dinnseanchái* is not simply a vessel containing historical and cultural information but perceives and creates meaningful relationships between the different parts of the retained lore. He or she is capable of ordering or reordering the history and lore according to values related to that lifelong inhabitation. This is echoed in Ian Bigg's claim that deep mapping's preoccupation with bringing the past to light always has an eye on the contemporary as well and on necessary investigations and productions of meaning 'so as to enact constructive reconciliations in the present' (2010: 5).

Though Robinson does not make such a claim himself, it is precisely this lively negotiation of relationships and the capacity to *create* meanings that we see in his writing, and that distinguishes it from the maps and the database.

The capacity of his prose to draw on detailed knowledge of, for example, botany, archaeology, folklore, geology and history, all in the same chapter or essay – his attempt at ‘interweaving more than two or three at a time of the millions of modes of relating to a place’ – shows something more deliberate and creative than the bringing forth of peripheral and precariously located knowledge that we find in the maps and their accompanying booklets (2008: 363). It shows an attempt to make the discrete layers of space belonging to different disciplinary perspectives known to one another and present to one another for the reader. There is a creative practice in this, reaching into the imagination of the artist at one extent and into the cultures with which a given place is invested at the other. This gives rise to two identifiable traits in his written work. First, as Pippa Marland has shown, there is an extraordinary range of experimental writing styles through which he moves, self-reflexive moments of pastiche and parody, mischief and humour, moments of elaborate construction suddenly undermined by irrepressible self-doubt, all of which engage with the often overwhelming possibilities available to an author seeking to do a kind of literary justice to a place (2015: 19–21). This variety of registers is something that Susan Naramore Maher describes as characteristic of the form of writing associated with deep mapping in the United States, and something that she reads through Mikhail Bakhtin’s writings on heteroglossia and the dialogic imagination in the work of William Least Heat-Moon (2001: 7). And it should be noted that his threefold practice of art, maps and writing is in itself to be understood in this range. However, second, there is a more consistent and identifiable formal trait in Robinson’s recurrent endeavour to relate and intertwine distinctive perspectives on place, looking for what he calls, after E. O. Wilson, ‘consilience’ (Wilson 1999: 10). The abiding question that he puts to the test again and again is ‘can the act of writing hold such disparate materials in coexistence?’ (Robinson 2008: 210).

One such example of this we have in *Connemara: The Last Pool of Darkness* in which he describes the large cleft in the hillside that forms the valley of Little Killary near the coast. Here in the land’s unusual geology ‘ancient uncouth states of the earth have been broken through and thrust one over

the other' (2009a: 2), then gouged and worn away by glaciers. At the head of the valley there is a chapel and well dedicated to the little-known St Roc where people used to bring their dead for funeral rites. Local folklore explains the dramatic landform by suggesting that it was here that St Roc struggled with the Devil: as the Devil tried to pull him away to Hell on a chain, St Roc resisted 'so violently that the chain cut deep into the hillside, creating the pass and funerary way' (2). 'Thus geology reveals itself as mythology,' Robinson claims. However, it was also on the edge of Little Killary that Wittgenstein once stayed during a period of retreat from Cambridge while struggling with the philosophical argument about 'the difference between seeing something, and seeing it as something' (the famous example is of the shape that appears as a duck from one angle and a rabbit from another) (1). This particular branch of Wittgenstein's thought has huge significance for Robinson insofar as different perceptions can give way to different explanations of place residing in the same 'Space'. So Robinson suggests: 'In some future legendary reconstitution of the past it will be Wittgenstein's wrestling with the demons of philosophy that tears the landscape of Connemara' (2–3). Here, mythology, geology and philosophy are all brought into a resonant proximity by geographical and historical association, and intertwined through the narrative of the essay. Wittgenstein's own problem about seeing something as something else is playfully deployed and perhaps even celebrated by revealing a literary form of the duck/rabbit diagram in the form of geological rift/St Roc's struggle/Wittgenstein's conundrum. The conflicting meanings are resolved in a prose trait that recurs throughout the writing in different forms and that Robinson describes as a form of 'consilience' (Smith 2013: 10).

For E. O. Wilson, consilience is a means of bringing the, predominantly scientific, disciplines together in the joint endeavour of expanding the horizons of human knowledge, but it too has a relationship to the religious past for him:

We are obliged by the deepest drives of the human spirit to make ourselves more than animated dust, and we must have a story to tell about where we came from, and why we are here. Could Holy Writ be just the first liberal attempt to explain the universe and make ourselves significant within it? Perhaps science is a continuation on new and better-tested ground to attain the same end. ... Preferring a search for objective reality over revelation is another way of satisfying religious hunger. (1999: 5)

There is, however, an important difference here. Wilson's understanding of consilience is fundamentally teleological, with religion fumbling in the dark behind us and the light of scientific explanation on 'better-tested ground' ahead of us (theologians might find themselves irked by the thought that religion is a naïve form of scientific endeavour). However, Robinson's understanding of consilience is not so teleological and is, instead, interested in the thoughts that appear as different layers of knowledge coincide, as different ways of seeing the same thing 'as something' multiply its phenomenological and intersubjective possibilities. Wilson's expanding frontier gives way to Robinson's intricate and fractal periphery. Robinson thinks like an artist, Wilson like a scientist. As Wilson himself suggests, somewhat reductively, 'The love of complexity without reductionism makes art; the love of complexity with reductionism makes science' (1999: 54). Michael Quigley has also suggested that 'no book containing such a vast amount of detail on such a small portion of landscape could possibly be sustained were it not for its intrinsic literary quality' (1998: 117). The artist and the cartographer eventually find a curious fulfilment of their quest for richer and richer forms of space in the contours and possibilities made available through language and the literary imagination. Literary aesthetics and the experimental form of the essay becomes Robinson's final articulation of the shifting horizon of the places he has made his home.

Robinson has described the 'base-triangle' of his philosophy of space as 'that formed by the three church-towers of Proust's Martinville' (1996: 19). The 'base-triangle', in cartography, is the measure from which all other measures are unfolded, one triangle after another. For the Ordnance Survey this first base-triangle was a precise measure taken with extraordinary care over a two-month period with help from members of the Royal Artillery on Hounslow Heath in the summer of 1791 (Hewitt 2010: 124–6). From that measure the survey worked outwards until it had taken in the whole of the British Isles. For Robinson, ever complicating the measures of Euclidean and imperial space, the reference to Proust suggests something much more deeply felt and subjective that is related to the impulse to write. The mysterious intensity of feeling that Proust describes upon watching from a coach window the triangulation of Martinville's two church towers with Vieuxvicq's one ignites in his narrator the need to write a response. The sense 'that something more lay behind that mobility, that luminosity, something which they seemed at once to contain

and to conceal' wrenches open an irresistible need to respond creatively which, once fulfilled, produces feelings of extraordinary elation (1922: 184). Robinson's suggestion, then, that this moment in Proust serves as his own 'base-triangle' expresses a very primal and mysterious response to what a place might at once 'contain and conceal' (and we might think back to the image of the piper in the cave again here). Part of what a place contains and conceals for Robinson is, of course, these 'interlocking' spaces both of historical depth and diverse subjective and disciplinary perspectives. It contains these, but it also contains the endlessly deferred promise of a total form of spatial revelation, a revelation implicit in the very idea of concealment itself.

The central philosophy, introduced in the first Aran book, but running through both, is Robinson's idea of 'the good step', an ideal, single footfall that traverses a portion of the Earth while somehow containing an unthinkable awareness of all possible ways of knowing the place it is traversing: what he describes as an 'unsummable totality of human perspectives' (2008: 8). The 'good step' is an aspiration to do a form of cognitive and spatial justice to a given place, to unlock it spatially. It is an ideal realization, if not revelation, of all that is 'contained and concealed'. 'The good step' relates in certain important ways to Synge's own prior struggle with authenticity. What Lonergan calls the 'dynamic between inside and outside, between core and periphery' (2013: 79) that runs through Synge's plays, meant that in the end the 'construction of the authentic was an aesthetic strategy' (69). For Robinson, the aesthetic strategy of consilience similarly arises out of a realization about the impossibility of this authentic 'good step'. The key difference here is that the authenticity aspired to is, by this time, far beyond a regional or national authenticity. The Aran Islands as a geographical periphery have been replaced by Robinson for the Aran Islands as 'ultimate place', the fractal edge at which topography opens onto the labyrinth of intersubjective and interdisciplinary space. 'The good step' is an ideal concept that endeavours to address a disparity between human experience and the Earth in a manner related to the way that the maps endeavoured to address the disparity between imperial and Irish geography. This is Aran as an edge that extends from national and regional scales both up towards a planetary continental shelf, and down towards the intricate horizons of every hyper-localized patch of ground.

It is, of course, he declares, ‘inconceivable’ in the end but this does not prevent it, as an ideal, from shaping his attitude to the next step (ad infinitum), honouring the impulse to reach for and respond to the contained and the concealed even if the revelation may only ever be partial, the horizons always to some degree receding from view (2008: 363). That what sustains the view for moments is an aesthetic strategy suggests a way in which space comes to take on the qualities of a social and artistic medium, one worked over by language; worked in the sense that it is *produced*, but with an effortlessness that gives it the impression of *revealing* its own nature instead. Through this interesting tension between the production of space and the revelation of space we learn something about the shifting horizon of place itself, and perhaps the methods of deep mapping. A deep map explores a means of place-based social transformation but Robinson’s practice shows that this can happen fruitfully through creative and inclusive work at the level of space. But space here is privileged as something with a psychological texture, a social engagement and a cultural value. It is a medium invested with and constituted by multiple perspectives at the intersection of history and community.

Robinson’s secular metaphysics of ‘Space’ are, in the end, a deep complement to his investment in place. They are, first, his route *to* place, out of the isolated abstraction of twentieth-century metropolitan modernism. They were always what place was embedded within cosmically for him, but they became the lifeblood of place too, its interior labyrinth through which contested versions of the same place were found to be ‘interlocking’. It is in the latter of these understandings of space that he came to realize the potential for the positive social contribution of his work, producing and developing deep layers of space in socially engaged forms of ad hoc heritage work, ad hoc insofar as they were generated from the ground up, outside of any institutionalized heritage discourse. Research in critical heritage studies has argued for the recognition of the fundamentally creative nature of all heritage work. That even when it appears to be simply preserving the past from the threat of contemporary life, it is nonetheless producing a narrative for the present and into the future (Harrison 2010: 100). From the mid-1980s there have been calls for contemporary artists to be more involved in heritage work because of the way that they ‘have continued to struggle with the material of the present’ when the heritage industry had turned its back on it (Hewison 1987: 144). Robinson’s

work in Ireland represents just this kind of relationship between heritage and contemporary art. It relates the work of place writing to the changing horizons of place itself. While his early map-making revealed those 'interlocking' spaces, his later written work began to interweave them in search of that 'consilience' that could produce and reveal space simultaneously. In this sense the space of the writing returns full circle to the abstract and gestural work of the earlier artist, only this time the space being worked is not merely geometrical but bears and embodies place too, in all its fractal minutia. In this sense Robinson writes as he mapped and as he painted, as an artist whose distinctive investigation, practice and aesthetic of space reveals space itself to be a medium approached by various means capable of influencing the life of a place.

Place and social engagement are ideas that might be thought antithetical to modernism, and Robinson's work does show a disruptive tension that emerged in the 1970s. However, there is another way of thinking about this. Recent work on 'regional modernisms' (Alexander and Moran 2013) and 'archipelagic modernism' (Brannigan 2014) suggests that, as much as the equation between modernism and the city is being rethought historically, modernism's legacy might also be traced in artists like Robinson and perhaps even in the wider project of deep mapping itself. As such, Robinson offers a very singular and surprising view on the relationship between modernism and deep mapping, a curious line of inheritance, if not a bridge. As much as deep mapping is about history, community and place on the margins, it too is concerned with the imaginative manipulation of space through innovative and experimental practices in an antagonistic relationship with modernity. Place is increasingly becoming the site of such experimental practices in the twenty-first century, revealing itself to be as fissured and fractured, as protean and volatile, as *deep* in its cavernous passages as the mind ever was for modernism at the beginning of the twentieth century. Robinson's work draws attention to the mechanics of place heritage on its outer edge as an imaginative social and spatial work, encouraging us to think of place itself as a form of art intervening in the tensions between centre and periphery.